

# COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ÁTHA CLIATH

File Reference m P.C. 16741	LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACT 1963 & 1976 <b>PLANNING REGISTER</b>		REGISTER REFERENCE R. A. 1035
1. LOCATION	Rosemount Shopping Centre, Marian Road, Rathfarnham		
2. PROPOSAL	2 Advertising Panels		
3. TYPE & DATE OF APPLICATION	TYPE	Date Received	Date Further Particulars
			(a) Requested (b) Received
	P.	21st June, 1978	1. _____ 2. _____
4. SUBMITTED BY	Name David Allen, Address 44A Dublin Ind. Estate, Finglas Road, Dublin 11		
5. APPLICANT	Name D. Allen, Esq., Address 44A Moyle Road, Dublin Ind. Est., Dublin 11.		
6. DECISION	O.C.M. No. P/3146/78		Notified 16th August, 1978
	Date 15/8/78		Effect * Permission Refused
7. GRANT	O.C.M. No.		Notified
	Date		Effect
8. APPEAL	Notified		Decision
	Type		Effect
9. APPLICATION SECTION 26 (3)	Date of application		Decision
			Effect
10. COMPENSATION	Ref. in Compensation Register		
11. ENFORCEMENT	Ref. in Enforcement Register		
12. PURCHASE NOTICE			
13. REVOCATION or AMENDMENT			
14.			
15.			
16.			
Prepared by _____		Copy issued by _____ Registrar	
Checked by _____		Date _____	
Grid Ref.	O.S. Sheet	Co. Accts. Receipt No. _____	

DUBLIN COUNTY COUNCIL

TELEPHONE: 742951 (EXT. 143 and 145)

Planning Department,  
46-49 Dame Street,  
Dublin 2.

NOTIFICATION OF A DECISION REFUSING:  
~~OUTLINE PERMISSION~~: PERMISSION: ~~APPROVAL~~:  
LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT) ACT, 1963.

R.A. 1035

To: Mr. David Allen,  
.....  
44a Dublin Industrial Estate,  
.....  
Finglas Road, Dublin 11.  
.....

Register Reference No:.....

Planning Control No: 16741

Application received 21/6/78

APPLICANT: Mr. David Allen

In pursuance of its functions under the above mentioned Act the Dublin County Council, being the Planning Authority for the County Health District of Dublin, did by order P/3146/78, dated 15th August, 1978, refuse:

~~OUTLINE PERMISSION~~ PERMISSION; ~~APPROVAL~~

for ....proposed 2 No. advertising panels at Rosemount Shopping Centre, Marian Road, Rathfarnham,  
.....  
for the following reasons:

1. The site is located in an area zoned "to preserve and improve residential amenity" in the Development Plan. The development proposed would be visually obtrusive in this exposed location, would contravene materially the Development Plan objective, would not be in accordance with the proper planning and development of the area and would seriously injure the amenities of residential property in the vicinity.

Signed on behalf of the Dublin County Council: .....

  
for Principal Officer

Date: 16th August, 1978, .....

NOTE: An appeal against the decision may be made to the Minister by the applicant within one month from the date of receipt by the applicant of this notification or by any other person within twenty-one days of the date of the decision. The appeal shall be in writing and shall state the subject matter of the appeal and grounds of the appeal and should be addressed to the Secretary, (Planning Appeals Section), Department of Local Government, Custom House, Dublin 1. When an appeal has been duly made and has not been withdrawn the Minister for Local Government will determine the application for permission as if it had been made to him in the first instance.

FL 6/5/4382

AN BORD PLEANALA

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 AND 1976

County Dublin

Planning Register Reference Number: R.A. 1035

APPEAL by David Allen, of 44 A, Moyle Road, Dublin Industrial Estate, Finglas Road, Dublin, against the decision made on the 15th day of August, 1978, by the Council of the County of Dublin deciding to refuse a permission for the erection of two advertising panels at Rosemount Shopping Centre, Marian Road, Rathfarnham:

DECISION: Pursuant to the Local Government (Planning and Development) Acts, 1963 and 1976, permission is hereby refused for the erection of the said two advertising panels for the reasons set out in the Schedule hereto.

SCHEDULE

The site is within the car parking area fronting an existing local shopping centre located in a residential area where it is the objective of the planning authority, as set out in the development plan, to preserve and improve residential amenity. The erection of the proposed advertising panels at this exposed location would be in conflict with this objective as they would be visually obtrusive and injurious to the amenities of residential property in the vicinity.

A. DENIS PRINGLE

Member of An Bord Pleanála duly  
authorised to authenticate the  
seal of the Board.

Dated this 12<sup>th</sup> day of December 1978.