# COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ATHA CLIATH

File Reference	LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNII DEVELOPMENT) ACT 196 PLANNING REGISTER			G AND & 1976	REGISTER REFERENCE . RA2127	
I. LOCATION	Ballyowen, Lucan, Co. Dublin				45	
2. PROPOSAL	495 houses			_		
3. TYPE & DATE OF APPLICATION		Date Received	1	Date Furth	er Particulars (b) Received	
4. SUBMITTED BY	Name Andrew J. Purcell, Address13 Anglesen Street, Dublin 2					
5. APPLICANT	Name Terenure Housing Ltd.,=  AddressLonsdale House, Avoca Avenue, Blackrock, Dublin					
6. DECISION	O.C.M. No. p/782/79 Date 21/2/79			Notified 21st february 1979  Effect To refuse permission		
7. GRANT	O.C.M. No. Date			Notified Effect		
8. APPEAL	Notified 27/3/79  Type 1st Party			Decision Permission refused,  Effect 18th June 1980		
9. APPLICATION SECTION 26 (3)	Date of application			Decision Effect		
10. COMPENSATION	Ref. in Com	Ref. in Compensation Register				
11. ENFORCEMENT	Ref. in Enforcement Register					
12. PURCHASE NOTICE	* =					
13. REVOCATION or AMENDMENT						
14.	1					
15,						
16.		=======================================	. ==	_ = 51		
Prepared by		Date:				
Grid Ref.	O.S. Sheet	Co. Accts, Receip	ot No		Malen 4 Man T In objects	



#### AN BORD PLEANALA

#### LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 AND 1976

#### County Dublin

#### Planning Register Reference Number: R.A. 2127

APPEAL by Terenure Housing Limited, of Lonsdale House, Avoca Road, Blackrock, County Dublin, against the decision made on the 21st day of February, 1979, by the Council of the County of Dublin deciding to refuse a permission for housing development on land at Ballyowen Lucan:

DECISION: Pursuant to the Local Government (Planning and Development) Acts, 1963 and 1976, permission is hereby refused for the said development for the reasons set out in the Schedule hereto.

#### SCHEDULE

- 1. \_ The proposed development would be premature because:-
  - (a) preparation of the action plan for the area has not yet been completed and it is considered essential that the development of this land should accord with such a plan, and
  - (b) development of other lands in the vicinity, and particularly the provision of a new local distributor road system, has not progressed sufficiently to enable the development of the land to proceed without creating serious traffic hazard on the present inadequate and heavily-trafficked road fronting the site.
- 2. The proposed layout is considered to be unsatisfactory in that.
  - (a) the concentration of housing is too large without any accompanying reservation for community facilities;
  - (b) the amount and disposition of open space does not accord with the requirements of the Development Plan, the relevant provisions of which are considered reasonable:
  - (c) inadequate provision has been made for the integration of the development with that of adjoining lands;
  - (d) extension of turning circles into open space, as proposed, is unsatisfactory, as is the proposed construction of cul-desac roads extending to rear walls of houses and the proposed location of some houses backing on to open areas; and
  - (e) the proposed development lacks a clearly-defined internal hierarchical road system and some of the cul-de-sac roads proposed would be excessive in length.



### BRENDAN O'DONOGHUE

Member of An Bord Pleanala duly authorised to authenticate the seal of the Board.

Dated this 8th day of Sure 1980.

## DUBLIN COUNTY COUNCIL

Telephone 712355 Ext.: 143/145 PLANNING DEPARTMENT 46/49 Dame Street, Dublin 2.

#### NOTIFICATION OF A DECISION TO REFUSE:

PERMISSION: APPROVAL

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 & 1976

8 <b>18 7</b> .	II é	III 923 28H 3	र सा। हुस्कृत अलग्रस्त ॥ ।।॥ व्यास्ता असः।।			
Į į	Andrew J. Purcell & Associates,	Register Reference N	o. R.A. 2127			
1 1	13 Anglessa Street,	Planning Control No	<b>9319</b>			
1	Dublin 2	Application Received	22/12/78			
= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	Dacks 100 有形式的 医医性 100 100 100 100 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Additional Inf. Reco	ଆନ୍ତ୍ରୀୟାନ୍ତ୍ରି ନିର୍ଥିତ । ୧୯୯୯-୧୯୯ ୧୯ ୧୯ ୧୯ ୧୯ ୧୯ ୧୯ ୧୯ ୧୯ ୧୯ ୧୯			
	LICANT Terenure Housing Limited.					
APP	LICANT INTERPORT DOUBLING CAMPAGE					
=			Continue of the Control of the State of the Control of the State of the Control o			
ln p	oursuance of its functions under the above mentioned Acts 1	the Dublin County Cou	ncil, being the Planning Authority for the			
	nty Health District of Dublin, did by order, P/782/79	dated	Zint February, 1979			
	BURANANANANANAN	PERMISSION	*******			
Ear	proposed 495 houses at Ballyowen, Lucan,	Co. Dublin.	ដុំស្ត្រូវម៉ូងមួយស្រាស់ សាសសសសសសសសសសសសសសសសស			
	т — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	: **** នេះ ស្នងនេះ គឺ នេះ	20. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.			
for	the following reasons:		#1			
La	There is no suitable public water supply	e evellable to es	rva the oromand development.			
2.	There is no suitable public foul sawer s					
	It is proposed to cater for the drainage proposed Ballydowd Gravity Sewer. Dutfacuthern and must be raised to prevent tinsufficient capacity in the sewers to a connection.	41 for the remains	nder of the site at the ain sever. There is			
5.	There is no suitable surface water outle part of the site is in the Ballydowd Catahown by applicant.	it fto merve this chment and not t	development. The greater he Griffin Catchment, as			
4	The proposed development is premature by reason of the said existing deficiency in the availability of water supply and drainage facilities and the pariod within which such deficiency may reasonably be expected to be made good.					
5.	The proposed layout does not take cognis	ance of the Cour	attle mentifulness Antion Dien			
	a possible school site. Inedequate prov these lands with adjoining lands. House	vielon has been a na becking onto, d	esible major open space and on ade for the integration of			
	a possible school site. Inecaquate prov these lands with adjoining lands. House Sites 388 to 393 inclusive, are unsatisf	rieion has been a na becking onto d 'actory.	esible major open space and on ade for the integration of pen area and road, as at (Contd.Over/)			
Sĩg	these lands with adjoining lands. House Sites 388 to 393 inclusive, are unsatisfuned on behalf of the Dublin County Council	vielon has been a as becking onto o actory.	esible major open apace and on ade for the integration of pen area and road, as at (Contd.Over/)			
Sig	a possible school site. Inedequate provides lands with adjoining lands. House Sites 388 to 393 inclusive, are unsatisfuned on behalf of the Dublin County Council	rieion has been a na becking onto d 'actory.	esible major open mpace and on ade for the integration of pen area and road, as at (Contd.Over/)			

NOTE: An appeal against the decision may be made to An Bord Pleanala by the applicant within one month from the date of receipt by the applicant of this notification or by any other person within twenty-one days of the date of the decision. The appeal shall be in writing and shall state the subject matter of the appeal and grounds of the appeal and should be addressed to An Bord Pleanala, Holbrook House, Holles Street, Dublin 2 and accompanied by a deposit of £10. When an appeal has been duly made and has not been withdrawn An Bord Pleanala will determine the application for permission as if it had been made to them in the first instance.

6. The proposed development contravenes Condition I of the Minister's grant of outline

(a) The Action Plan for the area has not yet been finalised and approved by the

- (b) The development has not been confined to that part of the estate which it is likely will be zoned for residential development in the Amtion Plan.
- 7. The proposed development is premature by reason of the fact that the Action Plan has not been finalised and approved by the Planning Authority.
- 8. The existing road system in the area is inadequate to cater satisfactorily with the traffic which would be generated by such a large development, thus resulting in a
- 9. The proposed devalopment is premeture until such time as the Local Distributor Roads this development to the Local Distributor Road. In this regard the Planning Authority may request a special financial contribution from the applicant when permission is being granted towards the construction of the Local Distributor roads in the area.
- 10. Some of the mites appear to have a rear garden depth of less than the minimum 35-ft.
- 11. The proposed public open space allocation does not meet the requirements of the Davelopment plan and is unsatisfactory in its location.
- 12. The density of the proposed development is excessive.
- 13. In relation to references to Action Plans in the above reasons for this decision to refuse permission for this development, it should be noted that a number of very preliminary Action Plana have been considered by the Planning Authority. These plans, due to their preliminary nature, have not been circulated to the various departments and at the present time are being reassessed by the Planning Department. Until the Action Plan for the area has taken some degree of shape it would not be opportune to grent a parmission for such a large residential development. No community facilities auch as churches, schools, shopping, etc., would be available to it.

for Principal Officer.