COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ATHA CLIATH

File Reference	LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING DEVELOPMENT) ACT 1963 & PLANNING REGISTER			AND 1976	REGISTER REFER	-
I. LOCATION	Lucan Demesne, Salmon Leap Bridge, Leixlip					
2. PROPOSAL		Hous e				
3. TYPE & DATE OF APPLICATION	1	Date Received h May, 1978	1	quested	Further Particulars (b) Received 1 2	
4. SUBMITTED BY	Name E. MacDermott, Esq., Address 105 Ludford Road, Dublin 15.					_ ===
S. APPLICANT	Name J. Downey, Esq., Address 'Alshling', Allenswood, Lucan, Co. Dublin					<u>^_</u>
6. DECISION	O.C.M. No. p/2634/78 Date 7/7/78			Notified Effect	7th July, 1978 Permission Refu	sed
7. GRANT	O.C.M. No. Date			Notified Effect		
8. APPEAL	Notified 15th August, 1978 Type 1st Party			Ellect	10th Novembar ermission refused ord Pleanala	
9. APPLICATION SECTION 26 (3)	Date of application			Decision Effect		
IO. COMPENSATION	Ref. in Compensation Register					
11. ENFORCEMENT	Ref. in Enforcement Register					
12. PURCHASE NOTICE						-
13. REVOCATION or AMENDMENT		- <u>-</u>				-
14.						
15.		,	W===			
16.)(= 1 . - 1 .	4.	((
Prepared by		Copy issued by Date				Regis
Grid Ref.	O.S. Sheet	Co. Accts. Rece	ipt No	E E	CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE SECOND OF	i sieriu

PL 6/5/47051

AN BORD PLEANALA

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 AND 1976

County Dublin

Plenning Register Reference Number: PA. 7/3

APPEAL by James Downey, care of Ends McDermott, Architect, 105, Ludford Road, Dublin, egainst the decision made on the 7th day of July, 1978, by the Cruncil of the County of Dublin deciding to refuse to grant a permission for the erection of a house at Salmon Leep Bridge, Lucan:

DECISION: Pursuant to the Local Government (Planning and Development) Acts, 1963 and 1976, permission is hereby refused for the erection of the said house for the reasons set out in the Schedule hereto.

SCHEDULE

- 1. It is an objective of the Flanning Authority, as expressed in the County Development Plan, that the area of the R ver Liffey Velley in which the site is located be preserved as an area of high emenity. This objective is considered reasonable and the proposed development would be in conflict with it.
- 2. It is also an objective of the Planning Authority, as expressed in the Plan, to provide for the preservation of trees and would also in the area. This objective is also considered reasonable and the proposed development would be in conflict with it.
- 3. The proposed development would endanger public safety by reason of treffic hazard because it would generate additional traffic turning movements on a heavily-trafficked Kational Primary road at a point where there is a dangerous bend leading on to a narrow bridge.

BRENDAN O'DONOGHUE,

(L s.)

Member of An Bord Pleanela duly authorised to suthenticate the seal of the Board.