

COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ÁTHA CLIATH

File Reference P.C. 9181	LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACT 1963 & 1976 PLANNING REGISTER		REGISTER REFERENCE R. A. 933
1. LOCATION	Kingswood, Naas Road		
2. PROPOSAL	Workshop and Offices		
3. TYPE & DATE OF APPLICATION	TYPE P.	Date Received 2nd June, 1978	Date Further Particulars
			(a) Requested 1. _____ 2. _____
			(b) Received 1. _____ 2. _____
4. SUBMITTED BY	Name McIlvennan and O'Dwyer, Address 8 James Terrace, Malahide, Co. Dublin		
5. APPLICANT	Name G. Monaghan, Esq., Address 1 Cabra Grove, Dublin 7.		
6. DECISION	O.C.M. No.	P/2900/78	Notified 1st August, 1978
	Date	27/7/78	Effect Permission Refused
7. GRANT	O.C.M. No.		Notified
	Date		Effect
8. APPEAL	Notified	14th August, '78	Decision
	Type	1st Party	Effect
9. APPLICATION SECTION 26 (3)	Date of application		Decision
			Effect
10. COMPENSATION	Ref. in Compensation Register		
11. ENFORCEMENT	Ref. in Enforcement Register		
12. PURCHASE NOTICE			
13. REVOCATION or AMENDMENT			
14.			
15.			
16.			
Prepared by		Copy issued by..... Registrar	
Checked by		Date.....	
Grid Ref.	O.S. Sheet	Co. Accts. Receipt No.....	

DUBLIN COUNTY COUNCIL

Telephone 712355
Ext.: 143/145

PLANNING DEPARTMENT
46/49 Dame Street,
Dublin 2.

NOTIFICATION OF A DECISION TO REFUSE:

~~XXXXXXXXXXXX~~: PERMISSION: ~~APPROVED~~

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 & 1976

To:

McIlvenna & O'Dwyer,
Architects,
8 James's Terrace,
Malahide,
Co. Dublin.

Register Reference No. **R.A. 953**

Planning Control No. **9181**

Application Received. **2/6/78**

Additional Inf. Recd.

APPLICANT **Mr. C. Monaghan.**

In pursuance of its functions under the above mentioned Acts the Dublin County Council, being the Planning Authority for the County Health District of Dublin, did by order, P/ **2900/78** dated **27th July 1978** decide to refuse:

~~XXXXXXXXXXXX~~

PERMISSION

~~XXXXXXXX~~

For **Proposed workshop, office and staff ancillary areas at Kingswood, Naas Road, Co. Dublin.**

for the following reasons:

1. It is an objective of the Planning Authority as expressed in the Development Plan that the area in which the site is located be preserved "to provide for the further development of agriculture". The proposed development of a light industrial nature would be in conflict with this zoning and militate against the preservation of the rural environment.
2. The site fronts ^{on} to the National Primary Route N7 which is the main road from Dublin to the south of Ireland. It is the policy of the Planning Authority to prohibit frontal development and ribbon development along the route of this major road. Development as proposed would be seriously at variance with this policy and contrary to the proper planning and development of the area. The Planning Authority has consistently resisted this type of development along this road.
3. The proposed development would endanger public safety by reason of a traffic hazard because it would generate traffic turning movements on the high speed and heavily trafficked National Primary Route.
4. It is contrary to the policy of the Planning Authority to permit such development on the basis of a private water supply and septic tank drainage. It is considered that the Council have provided, or serviced, sufficient industrial lands in the County areas to render it unnecessary to have developments such as proposed in rural areas.
5. There is no public piped water or sewerage facilities available to serve the proposed development.

Signed on behalf of the Dublin County Council

for PRINCIPAL OFFICER

Date **1st August 1978.**

NOTE: An appeal against the decision may be made to An Bord Pleanála by the applicant within one month from the date of receipt by the applicant of this notification or by any other person within twenty-one days of the date of the decision. The appeal shall be in writing and shall state the subject matter of the appeal and grounds of the appeal and should be addressed to An Bord Pleanála, Holbrook House, Holles Street, Dublin 2 and accompanied by a deposit of £10. When an appeal has been duly made and has not been withdrawn An Bord Pleanála will determine the application for permission as if it had been made to them in the instance.

FUTURE

6. Development would be premature by reason of the said existing deficiency in the provision of sewerage and water facilities and the period within which such deficiency may reasonably be expected to be made good.
7. The proposed development could prejudice the future improvement of the nearby junction as a grade separated junction. The proposed development is premature pending completion of the design of the junction treatment at this junction.
8. Light industrial development on the site which is visible from the Dual Carriageway is out of character with the general area and would cause a visual distraction to traffic on that road.


For Principal Officer.