

COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ÁTHA CLIATH

File Reference P.C. 10182	LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACT 1963 & 1976 PLANNING REGISTER		REGISTER REFERENCE R. A. 960	
1. LOCATION	Brownsbarn, Saggart			
2. PROPOSAL	Warehouse Depot			
3. TYPE & DATE OF APPLICATION	TYPE O.P.	Date Received 9th June, 1978	Date Further Particulars	
			(a) Requested 1. _____ 2. _____	(b) Received 1. _____ 2. _____
4. SUBMITTED BY	Name F. McCullough, Esq.,			
	Address Main Street, Swinford, Co. Mayo			
5. APPLICANT	Name N. Tunney, Esq.,			
	Address Brownsbarn, Saggart, Co. Dublin			
6. DECISION	O.C.M. No. P/2986/78		Notified 2nd August, 1978	
	Date 2/8/78		Effect Outline Permission Refused	
7. GRANT	O.C.M. No.		Notified	
	Date		Effect	
8. APPEAL	Notified		Decision	
	Type		Effect	
9. APPLICATION SECTION 26 (3)	Date of application		Decision	
			Effect	
10. COMPENSATION	Ref. in Compensation Register			
11. ENFORCEMENT	Ref. in Enforcement Register			
12. PURCHASE NOTICE				
13. REVOCATION or AMENDMENT				
14.				
15.				
16.				
Prepared by _____		Copy issued by _____		
Checked by _____		Date _____		
Grid Ref.	O.S. Sheet	Co. Accts. Receipt No. _____		
		Registra		

DUBLIN COUNTY COUNCIL

Telephone 712355
Ext. 143/145

PLANNING DEPARTMENT
46/49 Dame Street,
Dublin 2.

NOTIFICATION OF A DECISION TO REFUSE:

OUTLINE PERMISSION: ~~PERMISSION~~ ~~APPROVAL~~

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 & 1976

To:

Mr. Frank McCullough,

Register Reference No. R.A. 960

Main Street,

Planning Control No. 10182

Swinford,

Application Received 9/6/78

Co. Mayo.

Additional Inf. Recd.

APPLICANT Mr. N. Tunney.

In pursuance of its functions under the above mentioned Acts the Dublin County Council, being the Planning Authority for the County Health District of Dublin, did by order, P/ 2986/78 dated 2nd August, 1978, decide to refuse:

OUTLINE PERMISSION

~~PERMISSION~~

~~APPROVAL~~

For proposed warehouse depot at Brownsbarn, Saggart.

for the following reasons:

1. It is an objective of the Planning Authority as expressed in the Development Plan that the area in which the site is located be reserved "to provide for the further development of agriculture". Development such as proposed would be incompatible with this zoning objective and militate against the preservation of the rural environment.
2. It is contrary to the policy of the County Council to permit such large scale commercial developments in agricultural areas, and in such close proximity to the Dublin to Naas Road. This road is the main road to the south of Ireland and it is important that it be preserved free from this type of ribbon development.
3. An access to the site is from the Naas Road dual carriageway. The County Council is totally opposed to such developments having direct access onto this major road. The development would cause conflicting traffic movements between speeding traffic movements using the National Primary Route and traffic generated by the proposed development.
4. The proposed development would endanger public safety by reason of traffic hazard due to the generation of additional traffic turning movements, particularly of large commercial vehicles associated with this type of development, on the heavily trafficked National Primary Route.
5. The volumes of traffic generated by a development of this magnitude would create serious traffic congestion on the substandard and inadequate Bernay's Lane.
6. There are no public piped sewerage facilities available to serve the proposed development.

(Contd. Over/...)

Signed on behalf of the Dublin County Council
for PRINCIPAL OFFICER

Date 2nd August, 1978.

NOTE: An appeal against the decision may be made to An Bord Pleanála by the applicant within one month from the date of receipt by the applicant of this notification or by any other person within twenty-one days of the date of the decision. The appeal shall be in writing and shall state the subject matter of the appeal and grounds of the appeal and should be addressed to An Bord Pleanála, Holbrook House, Holles Street, Dublin 2 and accompanied by a deposit of £10. When an appeal has been duly made and has not been withdrawn An Bord Pleanála will determine the application for permission as if it had been made to them in the first instance.

FUTURE PRINT

7. The proposed development would be premature by reason of the said existing deficiency in the provision of sewerage facilities and the period within which such deficiency may reasonably be expected to be made good.
8. It is contrary to the policy of the County Council to permit such large scale developments to operate on the basis of private sewage treatment. There are no suitable dilution waters available to accept effluents from private treatment works in this area.
9. The proposed development would be prejudicial to the security and safety of operations at Casement Aerodrome.



for Principal Officer.