		South Dublin County C Local Governmen (Planning & Develop Acts 1963 to 19 and Planning & Devel Act 2000 Planning Register (I	nt pment) 99 opment	Plan Register No SOOA/0580
1.	Location	Rookwood, Stocking Lane, Ballyboden, Dublin 16. apartment development comprising 12 no. 2 bedroom and 4 no. 1 bedroom units in a two storey plus penthouse block together with ancillary works including 29 car parking spaces		
2.	Development			
3.	Date of Application	15/08/00		her Particulars sted (b) Received
3. За.	Application Type of	15/08/00 Permission		
1	Application		(a) Reque	sted (b) Received
	Application Type of		(a) Reque	sted (b) Received 1. 2.

6.	Decision	O.C.M. No. 2217a Date 12/10/2000	Effect RP REFUSE PERMISSION		
7.	Grant	O.C.M. No. Date	Effect RP REFUSE PERMISSION		
8.	Appeal Lodged	13/11/2000	Written Representations		
9.	Appeal Decision	12/06/2001	Refuse Permission		
l0.	Material Contravention				
11.,	Enforcement	Compensation	Purchase Notice		
12,	Revocation or Amendment				
13,	E.I.S. Request	ed E.I.S. Received	E.I.S. Appeal		
14.	Registrar	 Date	Receipt No.		
1417 -					

0

6 11 15

1980, WEL

2)

ы 2

307.

### An Bord Pleanála

× 5.

¥.



# LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 TO 1999

#### **County South Dublin**

## Planning Register Reference Number: S00A/0580

**APPEAL** by Brenda Weir care of Fionnuala Rogerson Architects of Ardtona House, Lower Churchtown Road, Dublin against the decision made on the 12<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2000 by the Council of the County of South Dublin to refuse permission for an apartment development comprising 12 number two bedroom and four number one bedroom units in a two-storey plus penthouse block together with ancillary works including 29 car parking spaces, at Rookwood, Stocking Lane, Ballyboden, County Dublin (*a protected structure*):

**DECISION:** Pursuant to the Local Government (Planning and Development) Acts, 1963 to 1999, permission is hereby refused for the said development for the reasons set out in the Schedule hereto.

#### SCHEDULE

- 1. It is considered that the proposed development, by reason of its height, scale, density and proximity to the adjacent properties at Beech Walk, would constitute overdevelopment of the site which would be inappropriate to the setting of the protected structure (Rookwood House) and would seriously injure the amenities of property in the vicinity.
- 2. It is considered that the proposed development, by reason of the second floor level balcony, would result in overlooking which would seriously injure the amenities of property in the vicinity.
- 3. The proposed development located within the curtilage of Rookwood House, a protected structure, would by reason of its design and in particular, the roofing materials and horizontal emphasis conferred by the balconies, be inappropriate in this location and would be inappropriate to the setting of the protected structure (Rookwood House).

QQ. PL 065.122164

÷

\$

An Bord Pleanála

Page 1 of 2

4. A detailed tree survey of the site was not provided and as such there was insufficient information in order to allow a detailed assessment of the impact of the proposed development in this respect.

6 Ann Row.

Member of An Bord Pleanála duly authorised to authenticate the seal of the Board.

Dated this 12" day of Times

<u>n</u> 2001.

PL 06S.122164

An Bord Pleanála

Page 2 of 2