# COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ATHA CLIATH



P. C. Reference	LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACT 1963 & 1976  PLANNING REGISTER				REGISTER REFERENCE YA.236.	
1. LOCATION	Cl 1	Chapel Hill, Lucan.				
	Chapel	. Hill, Lucau.				
2. PROPOSAL	17 Hou	ses at The Rector				
3. TYPE & DATE OF APPLICATION	TYPE	Date Received	(a) Req	uested	urther Particulars (b) Received	
	ОР	15.2.1983.				
4. SUBMITTED BY	Name Hannigan Whyte & Associates.  Address A.I.B. House, Main Street, Leixlip, Co. Kildare.					
5. APPLICANT	Name Address	Name Canon Despard.  Address The Rectory, Lucan.				
6. DECISION	O.C.M. Date	No. PA/814/83 7th April, 1	983		7th April, 1983 To refuse o. permission	
7. GRANT	O.C.M.	No.		Notified Effect		
8. APPEAL	Notified Type	27th April, <b>9</b> 8	33	Decision A	Permission refused by An Bord Pleanala 30th Jan., 1984	
9. APPLICATION SECTION 26 (3)	Date of applicat		- <u> </u>	Decision Effect		
10. COMPENSATION	Ref. in (	Ref. in Compensation Register				
11. ENFORCEMENT	Ref. in l	Ref. in Enforcement Register				
12. PURCHASE NOTICE						
13. REVOCATION or AMENDMENT						
14.	_			· · · -		
15.						
Prepared by					Registrar.	
Future Print 475588		Co. Accts. Receipt	No	***************************************	•	

Future Print 475588

## AN BORD PLEANÁLA

### LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 TO 1983

#### County Dublin

Planning Register Reference Number: Y.A. 236

APPEAL by Canon Despard of The Rectory, Lucan, County Dublin, against the decision made on the 7th day of April, 1983, by the Council of the County of Dublin to refuse an outline permission for the erection of seventeen houses on a site at The Rectory, Chapel Hill, Lucan:

DECISION: Pursuant to the Local Government (Planning and Development)
Acts, 1963 to 1983, outline permission is hereby refused for the
erection of the said seventeen houses for the reason set out in the
Schedule hereto.

#### SCHEDULE

The proposed development would be premature by reason of the present inadequacy in the capacity of the existing piped sewerage facilities for disposal of sewage effluent, and the period within which such inadequacy may reasonably be expected to be made good. It is considered that the present improvement works as designed will not provide for any additional capacity in the existing sewage disposal system.

Member of An Bord Pleanala duly authorised to authenticate the seal of the Board.

Dated this 30 day of fan

# **DUBLIN COUNTY COUNCIL**

phone 724755 262/264

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Block 2 Irish Life Centre Lower Abbey Street Dublin 1

#### NOTIFICATION OF A DECISION TO REFUSE:

OUTLINE PERMISSION: REPMISSION: ARREGVALEY

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963-1982

	Register Reference	NoYA.236
	Planning Control I	No
	Application Recei	ved
LEIXLIP, .CO. KILDARE.	Additional Inf, Re	ocd
APPLICANT .Canon .Despard		
In pursuance of its functions under the above mentioned Acts to County Health District of Dublin, did by order, P/A/834/83 decide to refuse:	he Dublin County Co	ouncil, being the Planning Authority for the 7th April, 1983.
	KRAGELANGE	ACCEPANAL
For. 17 houses at The Rectory, Chapel Hill,	Lucan.	
2. The proposed development would be premated in the provision of public piped severage for deficiency may it reasonably be expected to be 3. The proposed development would endanger placerd because of the inadequate stagger between Sarsfield Park, on the heavily trafficket	cilities and to be made good. Sublic safety be tween the access	he period within which such by reason of a AxE traffic to the proposed development
CONTRACTOR OF COMPANY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P		
4. In the context of the relationship between considered that units 9 and 10 should be on space areas attaching to the development.	n the site and	the Liffey Valley, it is
4. In the context of the relationship between considered that units 9 and 10 should be on	n the site and	the Liffey Valley, it is
4. In the context of the relationship between considered that units 9 and 10 should be on	n the site and	the Liffey Valley, it is
4. In the context of the relationship between considered that units 9 and 10 should be on	n the site and	the Liffey Valley, it is
4. In the context of the relationship between considered that units 9 and 10 should be on	n the site and	the Liffey Valley, it is
4. In the context of the relationship between considered that units 9 and 10 should be on	n the site and eitted and inco	the Liffey Valley, it is forested into the public open

Date 7th April, 1983.

TE: An appeal against the decision may be made to An Bord Pleanala by the applicant within one month from the date of eight by the applicant of this notification or by any other person within twenty-one days of the date of the decision. The appeal shall be in writing and shall state the subject matter of the appeal and grounds of the appeal and should be addressed to An Bord Pleanala, Irish Life Centre, Dublin 1, and accompanied by a deposit of £10. When an appeal has been duly made and has not been withdrawn, An Bord Pleanala will determine the application for permission as if it had been made to them in the first instance.