	η.	South Dublin County (Local Governmen (Planning & Develop Acts 1963 to 19 Planning Register (1	nt pment) 993	Plan Register No. S97A/0153
1.	Location	Oldbawn Shopping Centre, O	ldbawn Road, Tal	laght, Dublin 24.
2.	Development	17.7m monopole telecommunic antennae, associated contai security fence at rear.	ations support : Inerised equipment	structure and nt shelter and
3.	Date of Application	20/03/97		er Particulars ted (b) Received
3a,	Type of Application	Permission	1	1.
			2.	2.
4.	Submitted by	Name: Frank L. Benson Address: Hainault House,6		's Green, Dublin 2.
5.	Applicant	Name: Bord Telecom Eir Address: Telecom Eireann West,Dublin 2.		. Stephen's Green
6.	Decision	O.C.M. NO. 0928 Date 16/05/97	Effect RP REFUSE	PERMISSION
7.	Granț	O.C.M. No.	Effect RP REFUSE	
		Date		PERMISSION
)8.	Appeal Lodged	Date 06/06/97	Written Repres	
)8. 9.				entations
	Lodged Appeal	06/06/97 3 03/10/97	Written Repres	entations
9.	Lodged Appeal Decision	06/06/97 3 03/10/97	Written Repres	entations
9. 10.	Lodged Appeal Decision Material Contra	06/06/97 03/10/97 Vention Compensation 0	Written Repres	entations
10.	Lodged Appeal Decision Material Contra Enforcement 0	06/06/97 03/10/97 vention Compensation 0	Written Repres	entations

AN BORD PLEANÁLA

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 TO 1993

County South Dublin

Planning Register Reference Number: S97A/0153

APPEAL by Bord Telecom Éireann care of Frank L. Benson and Partners of Hainault House, 69/71 Saint Stephen's Green, Dublin against the decision made on the 16th day of May, 1997 by the Council of the County of South Dublin to refuse permission for development comprising the erection of a 17.7 metre monopole telecommunications support structure and antennae, associated containerised equipment shelter and security fences at the rear of Oldbawn Shopping Centre, Oldbawn Road, Tallaght, Dublin in accordance with plans and particulars lodged with the said Council: some more standing of

DECISION: Pursuant to the Local Government (Planning and Development) Acts, 1963 to 1993, it is hereby decided, for the reason set out in the First Schedule hereto, to grant permission for the said development in accordance with the said plans and particulars, subject to the conditions specified in the Second Schedule hereto, the reasons for the imposition of the said conditions being as set out in the said Second Schedule and the said permission is hereby granted subject to the said conditions.

FIRST SCHEDULE

Having regard to:

- the national strategy regarding the improvement of mobile (a) communications services,
- the guidelines relating to telecommunications antennae and support (b) structures which were issued by the Department of the Environment and Local Government to planning authorities in July, 1996,
- the height, scale and design of the proposed antennae support (c) structure and antennae,
- the location of the proposed development site within an established (d) shopping centre,
- the screening provided by the topography of the land, outside the (e) shopping centre, and the trees in the proximity of the site, and
- the location of the site in relation to the "Area of Scientific Interest", (f)

it is considered that, subject to compliance with the conditions set out in the Second Schedule, the proposed development would not seriously injure the visual amenities of the area, would not affect the "Area of Scientific Interest", would not be prejudicial to public health and would otherwise be in accordance with the proper planning and development of the area.

PL 06S.102678

An Bord Pleanála

21.11

Page 1 of 3

5. No material change of use of the mast shall be made without a prior grant of planning permission.

Reason: To safeguard the amenities of the area.

6. Surface water drainage arrangements for the proposed development shall comply with the requirements of the planning authority.

Reason: In the interest of orderly development.

Margardel Septure

Member of An Bord Pleanála duly authorised to authenticate the seal of the Board.

Dated this 3 day of Oeboleer 1997.



Эhн

PL 06S.102678

An Bord Pleanála

Page 3 of 3

SOUTH DUBLIN COUNTY COUNCIL COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ÁTHA CLIATH THEAS



PLANNING DEPARTMENT P.O. Box 4122, Town Centre, Tallaght, Dublin 24.

Telephone: 01-462 0000 Fax: 01-462 0104

NOTIFICATION OF DECISION TO REFUSE PERMISSION LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 TO 1993

Decision Order Number 0928	Date of Decision 16/05/97	e
Register Reference S97A/0153	Date 20th March 1997	<u> </u>

Applicant Bord Telecom Eireann,

Development 17.7m monopole telecommunications support structure and antennae, associated containerised equipment shelter and security fence at rear.

Location Oldbawn Shopping Centre, Oldbawn Road, Tallaght, Dublin 24.

Floor Area

Bosca 4122,

Lár an Bhaile, Tamhlacht,

Baile Átha Cliath 24.

Telefon: 01-462 0000

Facs: 01-462 0104

Sq Metres

Time extension(s) up to and including

Additional Information Requested/Received

In pursuance of its functions under the above mentioned Acts, the South Dublin County Council, being the Planning Authority for the County Health District of Dublin, did by Order dated as above make a decision to REFUSE PERMISSION in respect of the above proposal.

for the (1) Reasons on the attached Numbered Pages,

Signed on behalf of the South Dublin County Council

16/05/97 for SENIOR ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

Frank L. Benson & Partners, Hainault House, 69/71 St. Stephen's Green, Dublin 2.

SOUTH DUBLIN COUNTY COUNCIL COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ÁTHA CLIATH THEAS



PLANNING DEPARTMENT P.O. Box 4122,

Town Centre, Tailaght, Dublin 24.

Telephone: 01-462 0000 Fax: 01-462 0104

Bosca 4122, Lár an Bhaile, Tamhlacht, Baile Átha Cliath 24.

1

Reasons

The area in which the site is located is zoned 'high amenity' in the 1993 Dublin County Development Plan i.e. to protect and improve high amenity areas. The site is also adjacent to an 'Area of Scientific Interest'. It is considered that the erection of the proposed Monopole structure would, by reason of its height, be unduly obtrusive in this setting, would seriously injure the visual amenities of this high amenity area, would contravene materially the zoning objective for the area and would, therefore, be contrary to the proper planning and development of the area.

