# COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ATHA CLIATH

<b>C</b> .

P. C. Reference	LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING A DEVELOPMENT) ACT 1963 & 197 PLANNING REGISTER			76	REGISTER REFERENCE YA.620
LOCATION	Cooldrinagh, Leixlip, Co. Dublin				
PROPOSAL	Residential development of 433 houses with school site and shopping site				
S. TYPE & DATE OF APPLICATION	TYPE	Date Received	(a) Requestincl., 2	sted	rther Particulars (b) Received  2.
4. SUBMITTED BY	Name Mr. M. Eleming,  Address 29 Hainault Drive, Foxrock, Co. Dublin				
5. APPLICANT	Name McKone Estate, Ltd.,  Address Pineview, Firhouse Road, Templeogue, Dublin 16				
6. DECISION	O.C Dat	M. No. PA/1810/83 e 29th July,	1983	Effect	29th July, 1983 To refuse permission
7. GRANT	O.C	te		Notified Effect	for and law
8. APPEAL	Notified 25th Aug., 1983  Notified 25th Aug., 1983  Type 3rd Party  Decision Permission An Bord Plante Sth Feb.,		Permission refused by An Bord Pleanala 8th Feb., 1984		
9. APPLICATION SECTION 26 (3)	Date of application			Decision Effect	
10. COMPENSATION	Ref. in Compensation Register				
11. ENFORCEMENT	To Same Part Begister				
12. PURCHASE NOTICE					
13. REVOCATION or AMENDMENT					
14.					
15.					•

Co. Accts. Receipt No .....

Future Print 475588

# AR BORD PLOSKIIA

LOUISI GOOGLE TONT (PLANTING) AND DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 TO 1983

### County Dublin

## Planning Register Reference Number: Y.A. 620

APPEAL by McKone Estates Limited of Pineview, Firhouse Road, Templeogue, Dublin, and weston Limited, Leixlip, County Dublin, and others, against the decision made on the 29th day of July, 1983, by the Council of the County of Dublin, to refuse permission to the said McKone Estates Limited, for residential and ancillary development on a site at Cooldrinagh, Leixlip, County Dublin:

DECISION: Pursuant to the Local Government (Planning and Development) Acts, 1963 to 1983, permission is hereby refused for the said development for the Leasons set out in the Schedule hereto.

#### SCHEDULE

- 1. The site is located in an area where it is the objective of the planning authority, as expressed in the Dublin County Development Plan, to protect and provide for the development of agriculture. It is also the policy of the planning authority that the individual identity of towns such as Lucan/Clondalkin be protected and promoted through the delineation of visual breaks between the towns The Board considers the themselves and other development areas. provisions of the plan reasonable in this case and that the proposed development would be contrary to the proper planning and development of the area because it would militate against the preservation of the rural environment and because the area of land in existing agricultural use which defines and separates Lucan from Leixlip would be seriously reduced thereby and this pattern of development, if continued, would lead to the gradual coalescing of the centres.
- 2. The Board is of the opinion that the aerodrome use in the vicinity, an existing and established use more appropriate to this green belt area, would be prejudiced by the carrying out of the proposed development on the site.
- 3. In view of the stated unwillingness of Kildare County Council to facilitate the draining of the proposed development to their sewage treatment works, in the absence of a drainage agreement between Dublin County Council and the said Kildare County Council, the Board considers that there are no sewerage facilities available to serve the proposed development.

- treatment works to cater for the proposed development which would therefore be premature having regard to the existing deficiencies in the provision of sewerage facilities and the period within which such deficiencies may reasonably be expected to be made good.
- 5. The proposed development is premature by reason of the fact that major road proposals for this area have not yet been finalised.
- 6. No provision has been made in the layout for the reservation which the planning authority would require in connection with the proposed Leixlip By-Pass and which would bisect the site.

Member of An Bord Pleanala duly authorised to authenticate the seal of the Board.

Dated this 8 day of Jehruary 1984.

# DUBLIN COUNTY COUNCIL

rel, 724755 (ext. 262/264)

PLANNING DEPARTMENT. BLOCK 2, IRISH LIFE CENTRE, LR. ABBEY STREET, DUBLIN 1.

#### NOTIFICATION OF A DECISION TO REFUSE: PERMISSION:

McKone Estates	Doninton Boforence No.	YA 620
Pineview,		
	Application Received	
Templeogue, Dublin 16.		eived
Applicant	Time Ext. up to:	29/7/83
In pursuance of its functions under the above-mention the County Health District of Dublin, did by order, P/ decided to refuse:	PERSONAL Dublin County Council,	being the Planning Authority for dated
Proposed residential development consistor	The state of the s	
vision for a school and shopping centre/	on Cooldrinagh, Leixlip.	
for the following reasons:		
for the development of agriculture" in the ment would be contrary to this zoning died and development of the area and militate at 2. There are no public foul sewers available.	ctive and thereby contrary against the preservation of able to serve the proposed	Plan. The proposed development the rural environment. I development.
for the development of agriculture" in the ment would be contrary to this zoning died and development of the area and militate at 2. There are no public foul sewers available. No evidence has been submitted to indiconnection to their foul sewer system.  4. The proposed development would be presented provision of sewerage facilities and in the provision of sewerage facilities and the provision of the provision of sewerage facilities and the provision of the provi	e 1983 County Development ctive and thereby contrary against the preservation of able to serve the proposed icate that Kildare County mature by reason of the se	Plan. The proposed development the rural environment.  I development.  Council will permit a
for the development of agriculture" in the ment would be contrary to this zoning died and development of the area and militate at 2. There are no public foul sewers available. No evidence has been submitted to indiconnection to their foul sewer system.  4. The proposed development would be presented provision of sewerage facilities and reasonably be expected to be made good.	e 1983 County Development ctive and thereby contrary against the preservation of able to serve the proposed icate that Kildare County mature by reason of the sathe period within which are	Plan. The proposed development to the proper planning of the rural environment.  I development.  Council will/permit a  aid existing deficiency in such deficiency may
for the development of agriculture" in the ment would be contrary to this zoning died and development of the area and militate at 2. There are no public foul sewers available. No evidence has been submitted to indiconnection to their foul sewer system.  4. The proposed development would be presented provision of sewerage facilities and reasonably be expected to be made good.	e 1983 County Development ctive and thereby contrary against the preservation of able to serve the proposed icate that Kildare County mature by reason of the sathe period within which are	Plan. The proposed development to the proper planning of the rural environment.  I development.  Council will/permit a  aid existing deficiency in such deficiency may
for the development of agriculture" in the ment would be contrary to this zoning died and development of the area and militate at 2. There are no public foul sewers available. No evidence has been submitted to indiconnection to their foul sewer system.  4. The proposed development would be prestite provision of sewerage facilities and reasonably be expected to be made good.  5. There is no public piped water available. The proposed development would be presing the provision of public piped water facilities.	e 1983 County Development ctive and thereby contrary against the preservation of able to serve the proposed icate that Kildare County mature by reason of the sathe period within which are ble to serve the proposed mature by reason of the satisfies in the area and to cilities in the area and to	Plan. The proposed development to the proper planning of the rural environment.  I development.  Council will permit a mid existing deficiency in such deficiency may development.
for the development of agriculture" in the ment would be contrary to this zoning died and development of the area and militate and development of the area and militate and a several area as no public foul sewers available. No evidence has been submitted to indiconnection to their foul sewer system.  4. The proposed development would be presthe provision of sewerage facilities and reasonably be expected to be made good.  5. There is no public piped water available. The proposed development would be present the provision of public piped water facilities and deficiency may reasonably be expected.	e 1983 County Development ctive and thereby contrary against the preservation of able to serve the proposed icate that Kildare County mature by reason of the sethe period within which are ble to serve the proposed mature by reason of the secilities in the area and to be made good.	Plan. The proposed development to the proper planning of the rural environment.  I development.  Council will/permit a mid existing deficiency in such deficiency may development.  And existing deficiency the period within which
for the development of agriculture" in the ment would be contrary to this zoning died and development of the area and militate at 2. There are no public foul sewers available. No evidence has been submitted to indiconnection to their foul sewer system.  4. The proposed development would be presented provision of sewerage facilities and reasonably be expected to be made good.  5. There is no public piped water available. The proposed development would be presented in the provision of public piped water factories and deficiency may reasonably be expected.	e 1983 County Development ctive and thereby contrary against the preservation of able to serve the proposed icate that Kildare County mature by reason of the sathe period within which are ble to serve the proposed mature by reason of the satisfies in the area and it do be made good.  drainage have been submit servation of the Leixlip I which is of local and national development of the area.	Plan. The proposed development to the proper planning of the rural environment.  I development.  Council will/permit a mid existing deficiency in such deficiency may development.  And existing deficiency the period within which ted.

ياط be addressed to An Bord Pleanala, Irish Life Centre, Lower Abbey Street, Dublin 1. An Appeal lodged by an applicant or Igent with An Bord Pleanala will be invalid unless accompanied by a fee of £30 (Thirty Pounds). (2) A party to an appeal making a request to An Bord Pleanala for an oral Hearing of an appeal must, in addition to (1) above, pay to An Bord Pleanala a fee of £30 (Thirty Pounds). (3) A person who is not a party to an appeal must pay a fee of £10 (Ten Pounds) to An Bord Pleanala in relation to an appeal. When an appeal has been duly made and has not been withdrawn, An Bord Pleanala will determine the application for permission as if it had been made to them in the first instance.

FUTURE PRINT LTD.

- The proposed development would be premature because the road layout for the area has not been finally approved by the Planning Anthority or An Bord Pleanala on appeal. In particular no agreement has yet been reached regarding proposals to grade separate, or otherwise treat, the proposed Leixlip By-pass/Cooldrinagh Road junction.
- 10. The proposed location of the access to Road A2, within 100ft. of the existing Cooldrinagh Road/Sligo Road (N4), junction would be unacceptable.
- 11. The proposed layout of the public open space is fragmented into a number of units and is totally unacceptable to the Planning Authority and does not take cognisance of the splendid views and wooded areas which are a feature of the site. Insufficient details of existing trees including a detailed tree survey have been submitted and insufficient areas of suitable public open space are proposed within the development. Strips of open space to them side and rear of dwellings are not considered satisfactory.
- 12. Insufficient acceptable Class I public open space has been provided to serve the development by Development Plan Standards.
- 13. The large number of houses backing onto public open space is unacceptable.
- 14. The location of the primary school site is unacceptable in that it is seriously affected by the reservation for the Leixlip By-pass.
- 15. The location of the shopping site indicated is unsatisfactory in relation to access and contours.
- 16. The design of house type 'B' (Drg. No. 6), comprising flat roofed terraced bungalows would be visually unattractive and would be injurious to the amenities of adjoining dwellings. No provision has been made in the design of these house types for the provision of surface water drainage from the patic areas. This could lead to flooding of the adjoining living and dining areas. Water storage tanks would also create problems.
- 17. Insufficient off street car parking spaces is proposed between the garage and front site boundary for thouse type \*C\*, This would lead to uncontrolled on-street car parking and obstruction to road users particularly on road \*N\*.
- 18. The proposed development would materially contravene condition no. 1, of the grant of permission of the Minister for Local Government, dated 14th March, 1977, (Order No. P16/5/35587), in that the applicant has not entered into an agreement with both the Council of the County of Dublin and the Council of the County of Kildare regarding the foul sewerage and surface water piped drainage arrangements, including the disposal of the foul sewage through the treatment works at Leixlip.

26th April, 1983 \*\*\*\*\*

McKone Estates Ltd. Pineview, Firhouse Road, Templeogue, Dublin 16. \*\*\*\*

re/ Proposed residential development consisting of 455 houses and associated site works, provision for a school and shopping centre on 60 acres at Cooldrinagh, Leixlip, with no material difference to development granted permission on 14.3.77 for McKone Estates Ltd.

Dear Sirs,

With reference to your planning application received here on 4th March, 1983 (Letter for extension period received 25th April, 1983) in connection with the above, I wish to inform you that :-

In accordance with Section 26(4A) of the Local Government (Planning and Development) Act, 1963 as amended by Section 39(f) of the Local Government (Planning and Development) Act, 1976, the period for considering this application within the meaning of subsection (4A) of Section 26 has been extended tup to and including 29th July, 1983.

Yours faithfully,