COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ATHA CLIATH

0	

	COMPARE CHOMAE		
P. C. Reference	LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLAN DEVELOPMENT) ACT 1963	3 & 1976	
1. LOCATION	PLANNING REGISTER PA.621 Ballyowen, Lucan,		
2. PROPOSAL	Site work and 601 dwelli	ngs	
3. TYPE & DATE OF APPLICATION	TYPE Date Received (a) F	Date Further Particulars Requested (b) Received	
	P. 4.3.83 2	2	
4. SUBMITTED BY	Address	ell & Assoc., ts 13, Anglesea Street,	
5. APPLICANT	Name Tern Hou	ses (Brennandtown) Ltd House, Avoca Avenue, Blackrock	
6. DECISION	O.C.M. No. PA/1232/83 Date 28th April, 1983	Notified 28th April, 1983 Effect To refuse permission	
7. GRANT	O.C.M. No.	Notified Effect	
8. APPEAL	Notified 16th June, 1983 Type 1st Party	DecisionPermission refused by An Bord Pleanala Effect 7th March, 1985	
9. APPLICATION SECTION 26 (3)	Date of application	Decision Effect	
10. COMPENSATION	Ref. in Compensation Register		
11. ENFORCEMENT	Ref. in Enforcement Register		
12. PURCHASE NOTICE	:		
13. REVOCATION or AMENDMENT			
14. 15.	!		
Prepared by	Copy issued by	Regist	
Checked by		;	

Co. Accts. Receipt No

Future Print 475588

AN BORD PLEANALA

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 TO 1983

County Dublin

Planning Register Reference Number: Y.A. 621

APPEAL by Tern Houses (Brennanstown) Limited, of Lonsdale House, Avoca Avenue, Blackrock, County Dublin, against the decision made on the 28th day of April, 1983, by the Council of the County of Dublin, to refuse permission for residential development and ancillary site works on a site at Ballyowen, Lucan, County Dublin:

DECISION: Pursuant to the Local Government (Planning and Development) Acts, 1963 to 1983, permission is hereby refused for the said residential development and site works for the reasons set out in the Schedule hereto.

SCHEDULE

- 1. The proposed layout is considered to be unsatisfactory in that:-
 - (a) the proposed density would be excessive and would not accord with the requirements of the Dublin County Development Plan, the relevant provisions of which are considered reasonable;
 - (b) the amount of open space proposed is significantly below the minimum specified in the Development Plan, the relevant provisions of which are considered reasonable, and
 - (c) the number of houses proposed is excessive in an area without an internal distributor road system.
- 2. The proposed development would result in the creation of a serious traffic hazard on the present inadequate and heavily trafficked road fronting the site.

Eglen. & Brangan

Member of An Bord Pleanala duly authorised to authenticate the seal of the Board.

Dated this 7 th day of March 1985.

DUBLIN COUNTY COUNCIL

Te one 724755 Ext. 52/264

PLANNING DEPARTMENT Block 2 Irish Life Centre Lower Abbey Street Dublin 1

NOTIFICATION OF A DECISION TO REFUSE:

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963-1982

To;	The second of the second of the second of	
·····A.J. Parcell & Assocs.;	Register Reference No	····YA·621·····
·····13 · Anglesea · Street; · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Planning Control No	
Dublin 2.	Application Received Additional Inf. Recd	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
APPLICANT Tern Houses (Brennans town)	Ltd.	
In pursuance of its functions under the above mentioned Acts	the Dublin County Council, be	ing the Planning Authority for the
County Health District of Dublin, did by order, P/ .4/.1232/8 decide to refuse:	33 dated 283 .	28/4/83
CAUDENDE PER ENTRE CONTRACTOR OF THE PER CON	PERMISSION	APPRIATELL
Forsite.work.and.601.dwellings.at.Ballye	wen, .Imcan	
for the following reasons:		
1. The proposed density of the development, is contrary to the County Councils policy a sentrary would result in substandard develop planning and development of the area and see. Inadequate public open space has been in which would result in inadequate amenities	es expressed in the dement which would be concribed to serve the	velopment Plan, and im ntrary to the proper the amenities of the area. development as proposed
3. The proportion of small dwellings propose 46% would accommodate 1, 2 or 3 persons online a seriously undesirable imbalance in the be contrary to the proper planning and deve	ed in the development y) is considered excer housing mix in the p	(of the proposed housing, saive. This would result
4. The proposed 2 bedroom apartment and 1 has former because it does not conform with site development standards in relation to prelation to the kitchen/livingroom design a Local Authority minimum room sizes in relationship.	County Council requirerivate open space and and the latter because	rements in relation to car parking and in it does not conform with
5. The proposed development would endanger that it would result in a large volume of taystem serving the site.	raffic turning movemen	nts on the inadequate road
	en e	Contd./
Signed on behalf of the Dublin County Councilf	or PRINCIPAL OFFICER	
ï	or PRINCIPAL OFFICER Date	γ 98 5: ·····
NOTE: An appoint against the decision may be made to An P		

Note: An appeal against the decision may be made to An Bord Pleanala by the applicant within one month from the date of research by the applicant of this notification or by any other person within twenty-one days of the date of the decision. The appeal shall be in writing and shall state the subject matter of the appeal and grounds of the appeal and should be addressed to An Bord Pleanala, Irish Life Centre, Dublin 1, and accompanied by a deposit of £10. When an appeal has been duly made and has not been withdrawn, An Bord Pleanala will determine the application for permission as if it had been made to them in the first instance.

FUTURE PRINT

- 6. The number of houses proposed is excessive in an area without an a internal distributor road mystem.
- 7. It is the opinion of the Planning Authority that the development of these lands cannot proceed without the construction of the major read network in the area being developed at the a same time. This major read network can only be financed by financial contributions from developers, such as the applicant, towards the construction of the major reads. The applicant does not refer to this matter but on earlier applications has indicated that in the is not prepared to make contributions at which in the opinion of the Planning Authority are appropriate to a development of this site.
- 8. There are matters of details which are unsatisfactory to the Sanitary Services Engineer.
- 9. The Action Plan for this area has not yet been finalized. The proposed development is premature pending finalization of the Action Plan for the area.

in the state of the control of the c

The state of the s