COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ATHA CLIATH S

P. C. Reference REGISTER REFERENCE LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACT 1963 & 1976 YA.1121. PLANNING REGISTER 1. LOCATION Athgoe North, Newcastle. 2. PROPOSAL House. Date Further Particulars 3. TYPE & DATE TYPE Date Received (a) Requested (b) Received OF APPLICATION 1. 1. 10th June, 1983. 2. 2. Name Charles Hulgraine. 4. SUBMITTED BY Address St. Mochta's, Clonsilla. Name Mr. D. Murray. APPLICANT Address Athgoe North, Newcastle. O.C.M. No. PA/1865/83 5th August, 1983 Notified 6. DECISION 5th August, 1983 To refuse permission Effect Date O.C.M. No. Notified 7. GRANT Effect Date Notified Decision 8. APPEAL Type Effect Date of Decision 9. APPLICATION **SECTION 26 (3)** Effect application Ref. in Compensation Register 10. COMPENSATION 11. ENFORCEMENT Ref. in Enforcement Register 12. PURCHASE NOTICE 13. REVOCATION or AMENDMENT 14. 15. Prepared by Date Checked by Co. Accts. Receipt No

Future Print 475588

DUBLIN COUNTY COUNCIL

rel. 724755 (ext. 262/264)

PLANNING DEPARTMENT, BLOCK 2, IRISH LIFE CENTRE, LR. ABBEY STREET, DUBLIN 1.

NOTIFICATION OF A DECISION TO REFUSE:

TOTAL PERMISSION: ACREROVAN

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963-82

	PARTIES Register Reference No. 94 45 45
Architect,	Register Reference No
St. Mochton	Planning Control No
Of the control of the	Application Received
Clonsilla, Co. Dublin.	Additional Information Received
Applicant	D. Murray
	The control of the co
III OUTSUADOO AT ITO TUBATIABA WAAAWALA ALA	entioned Acts, the Dublin County Council, being the Planning Authority for dated 5/8/83
ACCULANCE AREA KINS SHOW	PERMISSION APPERXXXIX
For Proposed erection of house at A	thgoe North, Newcastle.
for the following reasons:	t is located in an area zoned 'B' "to protect and
	rially contravers Condition we do a
There are no public piped sewerage invelopment. The proposed development would be proposed the provision of piped sewerage fating reasonably be expected to be made go the proposal for septic tank drainage the site did not indicate soil suitano evidence of the availability of a builted. Further ribbon development along the	
There are no public piped sewerage invelopment. The proposed development would be proposed the provision of piped sewerage fating reasonably be expected to be made go the proposal for septic tank drainage the site did not indicate soil suitano evidence of the availability of a builted. Further ribbon development along the	remature by reason of the said existing deficiency ilities and the period within which such deficiency good. Se is unacceptable in that the trial hole opened ability for septic tank drainage. Endadequate and potable water supply has been
There are no public piped sewerage invelopment. The proposed development would be proposed the provision of piped sewerage fating reasonably be expected to be made go the proposal for septic tank drainage the site did not indicate soil suitano evidence of the availability of a builted. Further ribbon development along the	remature by reason of the said existing deficiency ilities and the period within which such deficiency good. Se is unacceptable in that the trial hole opened ability for septic tank drainage. Endadequate and potable water supply has been
There are no public piped sewerage is evelopment. The proposed development would be proposed to propose factory reasonably be expected to be made good the proposal for septic tank drainage the site did not indicate soil suitan No evidence of the availability of a similar ted. Further ribbon development along this do demands to upgrade the road above	facilities available to serve the proposed remature by reason of the said existing deficiency ilities and the period within which such deficiency good. ge is unacceptable in that the trial hole opened ability for septic tank drainage. andadequate and potable water supply has been is narrow rural road is undesirable and would make the level planned by the County Council.
There are no public piped sewerage is evelopment. The proposed development would be proposed to propose factory reasonably be expected to be made good the proposal for septic tank drainage the site did not indicate soil suitan No evidence of the availability of a similar ted. Further ribbon development along this do demands to upgrade the road above	facilities available to serve the proposed remature by reason of the said existing deficiency littles and the period within which such deficiency good. We is unacceptable in that the trial hole opened ability for septic tank drainage. Indiadequate and potable water supply has been as narrow rural road is undesirable and would make the level planned by the County Council.
There are no public piped sewerage is evelopment. The proposed development would be proposed to propose factory reasonably be expected to be made good the proposal for septic tank drainage the site did not indicate soil suitan No evidence of the availability of a similar ted. Further ribbon development along this do demands to upgrade the road above	remature by reason of the said existing deficiency ilities and the period within which such deficiency good. Se is unacceptable in that the trial hole opened ability for septic tank drainage. Endadequate and potable water supply has been

NOTE: (1) An appeal against the decision may be made to An Bord Pleanala by the applicant within one month from the date of the decision. The appeal shall be in writing and shall state the subject matter of the appeal and grounds of the appeal and great with An Bord Pleanala will be invalid unless accompanied by a fee of £30 (Thirty Pounds). (2) A party to an appeal of £30 (Thirty Pounds). (3) A person who is not a party to an appeal must, in addition to (1) above, pay to An Bord Pleanala in relation to an appeal. When an appeal has been duly made and has not been withdrawn, An Bord Pleanala will determine the application for permission as if it had been made to them in the first instance.