COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ATHA CLIATH

P. C. Reference	LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING DEVELOPMENT) ACT 1963 & 19 PLANNING REGISTER			G AND 1976	REGISTER REFERENCE YA.1232
. LOCATION		Gortlum, Br		,	
2. PROPOSAL	Bungalow				
3. TYPE & DATE OF APPLICATION	TYPE	Date Received	(a) Requ		ther Particulars (b) Received
	OP.			**************************************	2
4. SUBMITTED BY	Name Architectural & Building Services, Address Francis Street, Ennis, Co. Clare				
5. APPLICANT	Name S. Dunne, Address 377 Belgard Heights, Tallaght				
6. DECISION	O.C.M. No. PA/2010/83 Date 2nd Sept., 1983				nd Sept., 1983 o refuse permission (
7. GRANT	O.C.M. No.			Notified Effect	
8. APPEAL	Noti Type			Decision Effect	
9. APPLICATION SECTION 26 (3)	Date of application			Decision Effect	
10. COMPENSATION	Ref. in Compensation Register				
11. ENFORCEMENT	Ref. in Enforcement Register				
12. PURCHASE NOTICE					
13. REVOCATION or AMENDMENT					
14.	_				
Prepared by		Date	****	p 《	Regi

Future Print 475588

DUBLIN COUNTY COUNCIL

1 (ext. 262/264)

PLANNING DEPARTMENT, BLOCK 2, IRISH LIFE CENTRE, LR. ABBEY STREET, DUBLIN 1.

NOTIFICATION OF A BEFISION TO REFUSE:

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963-82

To Architectural & Building Services	
	Planning Control No 12129
Francis Street,	Application Received4/7/83
Ennis, Clare.	Additional Information Received
Applicant Sylwester . Dunne.	######################################
The state of the s	HERECOME THE PROPERTY OF THE P
the County Health District of Dublin, did by order, P/ A/201	cts, the Dublin County Council, being the Planning Authority for 0/83 dated 2nd . September 198
	PERMISSION
or . proposed bungalow at Cortlum. Britten	
	·
or the following reasons:	ကျည်သည်။ ကောက်လောက်သောတာသော လက်သောသိသည်။ အေသိ တောင်သော တောင်သော သောသော သောသော သောသော သောကြာသည် သောကြောင်းသည်။ အေသိသော အေ ကြောက်သောက်သောတာသည်။ အေသိသည်။
2. There are no public piped drainage for serve this development. 3. The proposed development would be pro-	ecilitées or water supply available to
2. There are no public piped drainage for serve this development. 3. The proposed development would be prodeficiency in the provision of public pipes such development may reasonably be expected. The septic tank proposal is unacceptable is considered too small to accommodate the is considered too small to accommodate comply with County Council distance require prejudicial to public health. 5. The site is located in an extremely straffic turning movements would endanger for a further ribbon development along this would lead to demands to upgrade the road Council.	wironment. scilitées or water supply available to smature by reason of the said existing ped services and the period within which ted to be made good. able to the Chief Medical Officer. The ste a septic tank drainage system and to krements and the proposal would therefore harrow road. The introduction of additional public safety by reason of a traffic hazard. i inadequate rural road is undesirable and above the level planned by the County
2. There are no public piped drainage for serve this development. 3. The proposed development would be productioned in the provision of public pipes such development may reasonably be expected. The septic tank proposal is unacceptable is considered too small to accommodate the considered too small to accommodate the prejudicial to public health. 5. The site is located in an extremely straffic turning movements would endanger to further ribbon development along this would lead to demands to upgrade the road Council. 7. The proposed development would material.	his soning objective and would militate vironment. acilitées or water supply available to senature by reason of the said existing ped services and the period within which ted to be made good. able to the Chief Medical Officer. The set a septic tank drainage system and to brements and the proposal would therefore serrow road. The introduction of additional public safety by reason of a traffic hazard. I inadequate rural road is undesirable and above the level planned by the County sally contravene the permission granted by a house on the adjoining site in that part in the present application.
2. There are no public piped drainage for serve this development. 3. The proposed development would be predeficiency in the provision of public pipes such development may reasonably be expected. The septic tank proposal is unacceptable is considered too small to accommodate the comply with County Council distance requibe prejudicial to public health. 5. The site is located in an extremely a traffic turning movements would endanger for Further ribbon development along this would lead to demands to upgrade the road Council. 7. The proposed development would material Council. 7. The proposed development would material Councils. 7. The proposed development would material Councils.	wironment. scilitées or water supply available to smature by reason of the said existing ped services and the period within which ted to be made good. sbie to the Chief Medical Officer. The ste a septic tank drainage system and to trements and the proposal would therefore harrow road. The introduction of additional public safety by reason of a traffic hasard. i inadequate rural road is undesirable and above the level planned by the County ally contravene the permission granted by a house on the adjoining site in that part in the present application.
2. There are no public piped drainage from this development. 3. The proposed development would be predeficiency in the provision of public pipsuch development may reasonably be expected. The septic tank proposal is unacceptable is considered too small to accommodate the septic tank proposal is unacceptable is considered too small to accommodate the prejudicial to public health. 5. The site is located in an extremely attraffic turning movements would endanger for the first proposed development along this would lead to demands to upgrade the road Council. 7. The proposed development would material Order No. P/1451/72, Reg. Ref. E 177, for of the curtilage of that site is included igned on behalf of the Dublin County Council.	wironment. scilitées or water supply available to smature by reason of the said existing ped services and the period within which ted to be made good. sbie to the Chief Medical Officer. The ste a septic tank drainage system and to trements and the proposal would therefore harrow road. The introduction of additional public safety by reason of a traffic hasard. i inadequate rural road is undesirable and above the level planned by the County ally contravene the permission granted by a house on the adjoining site in that part in the present application.

NOTE: (1) An appeal against the decision may be made to An Bord Pleanala by the applicant within one month from the date of the decision. The appeal shall be in writing and shall state the subject matter of the appeal and grounds of the appeal and should be addressed to An Bord Pleanala, Irish Life Centre, Lower Abbey Street, Dublin 1. An Appeal lodged by an applicant or yent with An Bord Pleanala will be invalid unless accompanied by a fee of £30 (Thirty Pounds). (2) A party to an appeal must, in addition to (1) above, pay to An Bord Pleanala a fee or £30 (Thirty Pounds). (3) A person who is not a party to an appeal must pay a fee of £10 (Ten Pounds) to An Bord Pleanala in relation to an appeal. When an appeal has been duly made and has not been withdrawn, An Bord Pleanala will determine the application for permission as if it had been made to them in the first instance.